

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 967 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?
1 to 5 No.

ARVINDSING PARAMSING RAJAVAT

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MS SUBHADRA G PATEL for Petitioner

MR RM CHAUHAN AGP for the Respondents.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

Date of decision: 11/08/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioner, who is detained by an order dated 30-1-98 passed by the Police Commissioner, Ahmedabad City, under Section 3 (1) of the Gujarat Prevention of Anti Social Activities Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") has challenged the legality and validity of the said order of his detention. Suffice it to say that the detaining authority has recorded a subjective

satisfaction that the petitioner is a boot-legger within the meaning of section 2 (b) of the Act and, therefore, with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the maintenance of public order detention order is required to be passed against him.

In so far as the grounds of detention are concerned, the detaining authority has placed reliance on one prohibition case which was at the investigation stage when the order of detention was passed, and the statement of certain witnesses for the incidents which took place on 3-1-98 and 12-1-98. It is alleged by the witness of the incident of 3-1-98 that the detenu had come with his two associates with foreign liquor and asked the witness to keep the same in his house. The witness refused and therefore the detenu got excited; ther witness was brought in the Kubhaji chawl and was beaten there. It is alleged by the witness of the incident of 12-1-98 that the witness was beaten on the suspicion that he was keeping watch over the activities of the detenu.

On both the oaccaions people gathered and when the petitioner rushed towards the crowd with open knife, the people started running helter-skelter and an atmosphere of fear and terror was created and even tempo of life was disturbed.

On the basis of the aforesaid material, the detaining authority recorded a finding that the petitioner is a boot-legger within the meaning of Section 2(d) of the PASA Act and with a view to preventing him from acting in any manner prejudicial to the maintenance of public order, passed the impugned order of detention against the petitioner, which has been challenged by the petitioner by wya of this petition.

On behalf of the petitioner, it was contended that even if the allegations made against the petitioner are accepted as true, it is too much to brand him as a boot legger. The Supreme Court in Piyush Kantilal Mehta vs Commissioner of Police, Ahmedabad City, AIR 1989 SC 491 on similar allegations made against the detenu in that case has held that the offences alleged against the detenu in the order of detention and also the allegations made by the witnesses could not be said to have created any feeling of insecurity or panic or terror among the members of the public of the area giving rise to the questio of maintenance of public order. The order of detention could not therefore be upheld. In view of this decision, it is not possible for me to uphold the order

of detention in the prersent case. The allegations made against the petitioner by the witnesses are minor incidents of beating by the petitioner and which could not be said to create feeling of insecurity among the general public. In view of this, the order of detention is vitiated.

In the result, this petition is allowed. The order of detention dated 30-1-1998 is quashed and set aside. The detenu Arvindsing Paramsing Ramvat is ordered to be released forthwith if not required in connection with any other offence. Rule is made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs. D.S.permitted.

Verified copy